

Serial No. **10/783,027**

Docket No. **LT-0048**

Amdt. dated May 12, 2009

Reply to Office Action of March 12, 2009

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 35-38, 40-50, 52-57, 59-64, and 66-68 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 35, 40, 45-47, 52, 55, 59, 62, and 66 are amended, and claims 39, 51, 58 and 65 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter is added. Support for the claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims, and the drawings. No new matter is added. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected claims 35-40, 42-44, 46-52, and 54-68 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Ogikubo, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0063528. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 35, 47, 55, and 62 recite, *inter alia*, that the plurality of resume marks each further comprise program identification information for the associated program. Independent claim 44 recites, *inter alia*, that the resume mark comprises a program ID configured to identify the associated program. Ogikubo does not disclose or suggest such features, or the respective claimed combinations of independent claims 35, 44, 47, 55, and 62.

That is, referring to FIG. 2 and paragraph [0056] of Ogikubo, the resume management information shown in Fig. 2 includes user information, resume information, and data and time information. Further, paragraph [0061] of Ogikubo states that the user information is an ID number, name, etc., for example, which is not program identification information.

Serial No. **10/783,027**

Docket No. **LT-0048**

Amdt. dated May 12, 2009

Reply to Office Action of March 12, 2009

Accordingly, the rejection of independent claims 35, 44, 47, 55, and 62 over Ogikubo should be withdrawn. Dependent claims 36-38, 40, 42-43, 46, 48-50, 52, 54, 56-57, 59-61, 63-64, and 66-68 are allowable over Ogikubo at least for the reasons discussed above with respect to independent claims 35, 44, 47, 55, and 62 from which they respectively depend, as well as for their added features.

The Office Action rejected claims 41, 45, and 53 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogikubo in view of Well Known Knowledge of the art. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Dependent claims 41, 43, and 45 are allowable over Ogikubo at least for the reasons discussed above with respect to independent claims 35, 44, and 55, from which they respectively depend, as well as for their added features. Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Serial No. **10/783,027**

Docket No. **LT-0048**

Amdt. dated May 12, 2009

Reply to Office Action of March 12, 2009

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,  
KEB & ASSOCIATES, LLP



Carol L. Druzbeck, Esq.  
Registration No. 40,287

P.O. Box 221200  
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200  
(703) 766-3777 CLD/pb:dg

**Date: May 12, 2009**

\\Fk4\Documents\2031\2031-045\195203.doc

**Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610**